

PROSTHETIC LIMB BY CHOICE NOT BY FATE: AN EXPERIENCE FROM AMPUTATION CLINIC





Junaid Alam, Nida Mir, Chigurupati Vedasamhita, Altaf Hussain Mir, Pratyusha Priyadarshini, Sushma Sagar Division of Trauma Surgery & Critical Care, JPN Apex Trauma Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Introduction

- Globally 57.7 million people undergo amputation
- Non traumatic amputees- approx. 54%
- Most common cause vascular diseases
- Advanced Prosthesis

Elective amputation in compromised limbs



Improved QoL

Study Design

- Case series
- Site Division. of Trauma Surgery and Critical Care, JPNATC, AIIMS, New Delhi
- Duration 3 months from amputation
- IEC-34/07.02.2020,RP-Ethical clearance-05/2020 dated 13.03.2020
- Follow up weekly till application of definitive prosthesis

Demographics and Diagnosis

Variable	Case X	Case Y	Case Z
Age	31	22	20
Gender	Female	Male	Female
Profession	Professional	Student	Student
Co- morbidity	No	Obese	No
Diagnosis	K/C/O Spina bifida with non healing trophic ulcer	K/C/O congenital amniotic bands with non healing trophic ulcer	Post surgery scar/contrac ture with elephantiasis

Pre Amputation Status







Case X

Case Y

Case Z

Patient's challenges before Amputation

Variable	Case X	Case Y	Case Z
Wound careDaily dressingChronic foul smelling discharge	6 hourly Yes	12 hourly Yes	24 hourly Yes
 Measures for salvageability (*HbOT, VAC, Alternative Medicine) 	Yes	Yes	Yes
Limb length discrepancy	No	No	Yes
 Requirement for special footwear 	Yes	Yes	Yes
 Walking limitation 	+	+++	++

Preoperative Planning

- Assessment of level of amputation
- Marking of stump length; choice of ideal stump length
- Suitable prosthesis procurement
- Preoperative exercise regime for both the limbs
- Simultaneous psychological counseling

Acknowledgement

Div. of Trauma Surgery and Critical Care, Physiotherapy Unit, Wound care nurses, Medical social worker, Psychologist; JPNATC, AIIMS, New Delhi

Level of amputation marking













Case X BKA

Case Y BKA

Case Z AKA

Immediate Post Operative Prosthesis







Rehabilitation protocol













Results Case X Case Y **Variable** Case Z 6 weeks 5 6 weeks 2 Stump ready for 6 weeks definitive prosthesis days days (weeks) Definitive socket 20 days 12 days 9 days application (days) **LEFS** Pre amputation 30 26 40 6 weeks 56 54 43 12 weeks 72 65 59



Definitive Socket

Case Y Back to Medical College



Back to Optometric Lab

Discussion

- All the three patients were young and required amputation ≤ 35
- Elective amputation supported by IPOP in non trauma patients

Conclusion

- Advanced prosthesis in patients with compromised limb has a better prognosis and improved Quality of Life
- Young educated patients took more informed choice to amputate rather than living with a SCARRED Limb

References

- Graham L. Spina bifida and lower limb amputation in Northern Ireland: a retrospective study of demographics and outcome. Prosthetics and Orthotics International. 2017 Oct;41(5):503-6.
- Chin JW, Teague L, McLaren AM, Mahoney JL. Non traumatic lower extremity amputations in younger patients: an 11-year retrospective study. International Wound Journal. 2013 Feb; 10(1):73-8.
- Linden E, Peers K, Kiekens C. Lower limb amputation for chronic pain and/or functional impairment. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine. 2021;53(11).