

Clinicopathological Profile and Outcome of Mammary Paget's Disease with and without Invasive Breast Cancer in a Tertiary Referral Centre in India

Authors: **Dibya P Behera¹**, A Mishra¹, V Agrawal², Sabaretnam M¹, G Chand¹, G Agarwal ¹ ¹Department of Endocrine Surgery, & ²Pathology, Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute Medical Sciences Lucknow- India

 Introduction ➢ Evident scarcity of literature on Mammary Paget's disease (MPD) ➢ Primary objective of this study was to investigate clinicopathological features and outcome of MPD in patients with and without invasive cancer (IC). 	(50 ➤ Ov dis ➤ Ra ➤ Inc axi	 (56.5, 29 & 15.5%) respectively. Overall mastectomy, breast conservative surgery & axillary dissection (93%, 7% & 82%) respectively. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy (77 and 79%) respectively. Incidence of Lump (p= <.001), mastectomy (p=.001), axillary dissection (p=.001) and adjuvant radiotherapy 						
 Material & Methods Retrospective study January 2000-December 2022 (55 patients) Clinicopathological profile & outcomes were noted & compared between Group 1- MPD with IC and Group 2- MPD without IC 	 Me 5 y DF Dis 	<pre>(p=.001) was significantly more in Group 1. Median follow-up was 26 months 5 year OS was 69% & 100% respectively DFS 72.% & 85% respectively Disease free survival was not different but OS (p=.05) was better in Group 2</pre>						
 Continous data - Student's T test/ Mann Whitney U test Categorical and frequencies - Chi- square test Survival analysis - Kaplan Meier curves Comparative analysis - Cox-regression 			Fig 1: clinical image of left breast with nipple changes & a		of sh pa at	Fig 2: H & E of MPD, showing pagetoid cells at the dermo		
 Results ➤ The incidence of MPD among all patients with breast cancer (BC) undergoing surgery (n=2804) was 1.9% ➤ Incidence of IC was 83.3% and carcinoma in the (CIC) 	lump in a MPD patient (red arrow) epidermoid junction (re arrow)							
	Table 2: Comparative Clinicopathological Profile of Group 1 and Group 2 Paget' s Patients							
 situ (CIS) was 53% in MPD All patients had nipple changes & 67% had palpable lump. 	S.no	o Var	iables	Group 1 # (n=46)	Group 2## (n=9)	p Value		
Table 1 : Clinicopathologic Profile of the Whole Cohort of Paget's Disease Patients	1.	Age in		54.3 ±	57.7 ±	0.14		

years (mean±SD)

13.9

9.9

Whole Cohort of Paget's Disease Patients

S.no	Variables	Results	2.	Menopausal						
1.	Age in years (Mean ± SD)	54.9 ± 13.3		status: n (%) Pre-menopause	14(30.5)	1(11)	0.78			
2.	Gender: n (%) Female Male	54 (98.2) 1 (1.8)	3.	Post-menopause Receptor status ^b n (%)	31(69.5)	8(89)				
3.	Laterality: n (%) Right Left	29 (53) 26 (47)	HR receptor +ve HER- 2+ve TNBC		9 (19.6) 30 (81) 2 (5.4)	1 (11) 4 (57.1) 2 (28.5)	0.47 0.21 0.04			
4.	Menopausal status: n = 54 (%) Pre -menopausal	15 (28)	4.	Surgery: n (%) Mastectomy Breast Conserving	44(95.7) 2(4.3)	7(77.8) 2(22.2)	0.04*			
	Post -menopausal	39 (72)	5.	Radiotherapy n(%)	39(84.7)	0	0.00*			
5.	 5. Clinical Presentation : n (%) Lump 	29 (52.7)	6.	Hormone Therapy: n (%)	9 (19.5)	1 (11)	0.17			
	Nipple changes Both	55 (100) 8 (14.5)	<pre># - Paget's + IDC, ## - Paget's only, b HER 2-status available in 44 patients, HR - Hormone Receptor, * statistically significant.</pre>							
6.	Nipple changes : n (%) Ulcer Excoriation Retraction Discharge	21(38.2) 25 (45.5) 5 (9.1) 4 (7.2)								
7.	Duration of disease in months (Mean ± SD)	17.9 ± 18.3	-a.o grup -b.o grup							
8.	Histology: n (%) Paget's only Paget's + DCIS Paget's + IC	2 (3.6) 7 (12.7) 46 (83.7)								
9.	Tumour Receptor status: n (%) HR Her 2 Neu TNBC	9 (20) 30 (68) 1(3)	 So to to							
10.	Tumour size in mm (Mean ± SD)	27.7 ± 23.1								
11.	Surgery : n (%) Mastectomy BCS	51(93) 4 (7)								
12.	Adjuvant Radiotherapy n (%)	45 (82)	outcome							
13.	Duration of Follow up [Median (IQR)]	26 (7-56)	 References Sisti A et al, Paget disease of the breast: A national retrospective analysis of the US population. Breast Dis. 2020;39(3-4):119-126 Kar H et al, Clinicopathological features of mammary Paget's disease: a single-center experience in Turkey. Turk J Med Sci. 2021;51(6):2994-3000 							